

Def. 1.36

Suppose $W_1, \dots, W_m \subseteq V$ are subspaces.

The sum of $W_1, \dots, W_m$ is

$$W_1 + \dots + W_m = \{w_1 + \dots + w_m : w_1 \in W_1, \dots, w_m \in W_m\}.$$

Ex.

Let $U = \{(a, 0, 0) : a \in \mathbb{R}\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ (a subspace) and $W = \{(0, b, 0) : b \in \mathbb{R}\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ (a subspace).

$$\begin{aligned} U + W &= \{(a, 0, 0) + (0, b, 0) : a, b \in \mathbb{R}\} \\ &= \{(a, b, 0) : a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}. \end{aligned}$$

(Geometrically, this is the xy -plane (which is also a subspace).

Exercise:

Compute $U + W$ where $U = \{(a, a, 0) : a \in \mathbb{R}\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ and $W = \{(b, b, b) : b \in \mathbb{R}\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$.

Thm 1.40

Suppose $W_1, \dots, W_m \subseteq V$ are subspaces. Then

$W_1 + \dots + W_m$ is a subspace of V .

Sketch of proof: use the subspace test.

Def. 1.41

Suppose $W_1, \dots, W_m \subseteq V$ are subspaces. Then

$$W_1 + \dots + W_m$$

is called an (internal) direct sum if

$$W_1 + \dots + W_m = W_1' + \dots + W_m'$$

for $w_1, w_1' \in W_1, \dots, w_m, w_m' \in W_m$, then $w_1 = w_1', \dots, w_m = w_m'$.

i.e. every vector in $W_1 + \dots + W_m$ may be written uniquely as $w_1 + \dots + w_m$ with $w_1 \in W_1, \dots, w_m \in W_m$.

In this case, we write $W_1 \oplus \dots \oplus W_m$.

Note: $\oplus$ is not an operation.

Ex.

Take $U = \mathbb{R}^2$ and $V = \mathbb{R}^2$.

Then $U + V = \{u + v : u, v \in \mathbb{R}^2\} = \mathbb{R}^2$.

Looking for $u + v = u' + v'$ where $u, u' \in U$ and $v, v' \in V$.

Take $u = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $v = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $u' = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $v' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

Then $u + v = v' + w$, but $u \neq u'$ and $v \neq v'$.

Exercise:

Take $U = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} : a \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ and $V = \mathbb{R}^2$.

Show that $U+V$ is not direct.

Ex.

Set $W_1 = \{(a_1, a_2, 0) : a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}^3$,
 $W_2 = \{(0, 0, a) : a \in \mathbb{F}\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}^3$,
 $W_3 = \{(0, a, a) : a \in \mathbb{F}\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}^3$, which are subspaces.

Thus sum $W_1 + W_2 + W_3$ is not direct because

$$(0, -1, 0) + (0, 0, -1) + (0, 1, 1) = (0, 0, 0) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\begin{matrix} (0, 0, 0) & + & (0, 0, 0) & + & (0, 0, 0) & = & (0, 0, 0) \\ \in W_1 & & \in W_2 & & \in W_3 \end{matrix}$$

Since $(0, -1, 0) \neq (0, 0, 0)$, $W_1 + W_2 + W_3$ is not a direct sum.

Thm. 1.45

Suppose $W_1, \dots, W_m \subseteq V$ are subspaces. Then

$$W_1 + \dots + W_m = W_1 \oplus \dots \oplus W_m$$

$\Leftrightarrow 0 \in W_1 + \dots + W_m$ may be written uniquely as $0 = 0 \in W_1 + \dots + 0 \in W_m$.

Proof:

" $\Rightarrow$ " Suppose $W_1 + \dots + W_m = W_1 \oplus \dots \oplus W_m$, i.e. is a direct sum.

Then by definition every vector in $W_1 + \dots + W_m$ can be written uniquely as $w_1 + \dots + w_m$, $w_i \in W_i, \dots, w_m \in W_m$.

In particular, 0 can be written uniquely as $w_1 \in W_1, \dots, w_m \in W_m$. Then

$$0 \in W_1 + \dots + W_m = 0 \in W_1 + \dots + 0 \in W_m.$$

" $\Leftarrow$ " Suppose 0 can be written uniquely as $0 = 0 + \dots + 0$.

Suppose $w_1 + \dots + w_m = w'_1 + \dots + w'_m$ as a basis.

Subtracting the R.H.S. from L.H.S. we obtain

$$w_1 + \dots + w_m - (w'_1 + \dots + w'_m) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (w_1 - w'_1) + \dots + (w_m - w'_m) = 0$$

Now $w_i - w'_i \in W_i, \dots, w_m - w'_m \in W_m$ since $w_i, w'_i \in W_i \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq m$.

Since 0 may be written as $0 = 0 + \dots + 0$,

$$w_1 - w'_1 = 0, \dots, w_m - w'_m = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow w_1 = w'_1, \dots, w_m = w'_m. \quad \square$$

Thm. 1.46

Suppose W_1 and W_2 are subspaces of V .

Then $W_1 + W_2 = W_1 \oplus W_2 \iff W_1 \cap W_2 = \{0\}$.

Proof:

" $\Rightarrow$ " Suppose $W_1 + W_2 = W_1 \oplus W_2$. Suppose $u \in W_1 \cap W_2$.

Note that $0+0=0=u+(-1)u$, $u \in W_1 \cap W_2 \subseteq W_1$ and $(-1)u \in W_1 \cap W_2 \subseteq W_2$.

By thm. 1.45, 0 may be written uniquely as $0+0$ in $W_1 + W_2$ so $u=0=(-1)u$.

Thus $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{0\}$.

" $\Leftarrow$ " Suppose $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{0\}$.

Using thm. 1.45, we want to show that if $0 = w_1 + w_2$ for $w_1 \in W_1$, $w_2 \in W_2$ then $w_1 = 0$ and $w_2 = 0$.

Suppose $0 = w_1 + w_2$ as above.

Subtracting w_2 from both sides, we obtain

$$0 - w_2 = w_1 + w_2 - w_2$$

$$\Rightarrow -w_2 = w_1.$$

Now $w_1 \in W_1$ and $-w_2 \in W_2$ and they are equal.

Therefore $w_1 \in W_1$ and $w_2 \in W_2$, i.e.

$$w_1 \in W_1 \cap W_2 = \{0\}$$

$$\Rightarrow w_1 = 0.$$

Similarly $w_2 \in W_2$ and $w_2 = -w_1 \in W_1$.

$$\Rightarrow w_2 \in W_1 \cap W_2 = \{0\}$$

$$\Rightarrow w_2 = 0. \quad \square$$

Note:

① It is not true that $W_1 + \dots + W_m = W_1 \oplus \dots \oplus W_m \iff W_j \cap W_k = \{0\} \quad \forall 1 \leq j \neq k \leq m$ for $m \geq 3$.

② Later we will define an external direct sum of vector spaces, it uses the same notation but a different definition.

Def. 2.4

Suppose $v_1, \dots, v_m \in V$. Then

$$\text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_m\} = \{a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_m v_m : a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}\}.$$

We set $\text{span } \emptyset = \{0\}$.

Thm. 2.6

Suppose $v_1, \dots, v_m \in V$. Then

$\text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ is a subspace of V and it is the smallest subspace containing $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$.

Sketch of proof: subspace test.

Ex.

Let $e_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, e_n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^n$. Then $\text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_n\} = \mathbb{F}^n$.

$\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is called the standard basis of $\mathbb{F}^n$.

Exercise:

Let $P_m(F)$ = the set of polynomials with coefficients in F of degree m or less.
 $= \{a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_mx^m : a_0, \dots, a_m \in F\}.$

$P_m(F)$ is a vector space.

Clearly, $P_m(F) = \text{span}\{1, x, x^2, \dots, x^m\}.$

Let $P(F)$ be the set of all polynomials with coefficients in F , i.e. $P(F) = \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} P_m(F).$

(Continued in next lecture.)