

Corollary:

Suppose $A \in M_{nn}(F)$ and $E_1, \dots, E_k \in M_{nn}(F)$ are elementary matrices.
 Then $\det(E_1 \cdots E_k A) = \det(E_1) \cdots \det(E_k) \det(A)$.

Proof: by induction on $k \geq 1$

Base case: $k=1$, is theorem DEMMM.

Inductive assumption: assume true for k

Inductive step: prove for $k+1$

$$\begin{aligned} \det(E_1 \cdots E_{k+1} A) &= \det(E_1) \det(E_2 \cdots E_{k+1} A) \\ &= \det(E_1) \det(E_2) \cdots \det(E_{k+1}) \det(A). \end{aligned}$$

Thm: SMZO

Suppose $A \in M_{nn}(F)$. Then

A is nonsingular $\Leftrightarrow \det(A) \neq 0$

Contrapositive: A is singular $\Leftrightarrow \det(A) = 0$.

Proof:

By thm. EMDRO,

$$B = E_1 \cdots E_k A$$

where B is the RREF of A , and $E_1, \dots, E_k$ are elementary matrices.

" $\Rightarrow$ " Suppose A is nonsingular. Then $B = I_n$ (thm NME).

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } 1 &= \det(I_n) = \det(B) = \det(E_1 \cdots E_k A) \quad (*) \\ &= \det(E_1) \cdots \det(E_k) \det(A) \end{aligned}$$

By thm DEM, $\det(E_j) \neq 0 \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq k$.

Therefore $(\det(E_j))^{-1}$ exists $\forall 1 \leq j \leq k$.

Multiplying $(*)$ by $\det(E_k)^{-1} \cdots \det(E_1)^{-1}$, we obtain

$$\det(E_k)^{-1} \cdots \det(E_1)^{-1} = \det(A),$$

Therefore $\det(A) \neq 0$.

" $\Leftarrow$ " Conversely, suppose $\det(A) \neq 0$.

From thm. NME, if $B = I_n$ then A is nonsingular. So we will prove $B = I_n$.

We have $\det(B) = \det(E_1 \cdots E_k A)$

$$= \det(E_1) \cdots \det(E_k) \det(A)$$

Since $\det(E_j) \neq 0 \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq k$, and $\det(A) \neq 0$ the right hand side is $\neq 0 \Rightarrow \det(B) \neq 0$.

The definition of RREF implies that B is an upper triangular matrix. Therefore $0 \neq \det(B) = [B]_{11} \cdots [B]_{nn}$.

Another consequence of RREF is that the diagonal entries $[B]_{jj}$ are either 1 or 0. Since $[B]_{11} \cdots [B]_{nn} \neq 0$,
 $[B]_{jj} = 1 \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq n$

$\Rightarrow B = I_n$ (since each 1 must be the only entry in its column to be in RREF).

Ex. Is $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 \\ -1 & 4 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \in M_{03}(\mathbb{C})$ invertible?

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A) &= \sum_{i=1}^3 (-1)^{i+1} [A]_{i1} \det(A(i|1)) \\ &= (-1)^2(1) \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}\right) + 0 + (-1)^4(-1) \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &= 20 - 2 - 3 \\ &= 15 \\ &\neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore A is invertible (and nonsingular, etc.)

Note: Important facts about the determinant:

Let $A \in M_{nn}(F)$.

Let $A = [a_1 \dots a_n]$ where $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are the columns of A .

If $a_1 = \alpha b_1 + c_1$ for $b_1, c_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\alpha \in F$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \det(A) &= \det([a_1 \dots a_n]) \\ &= \det([\alpha b_1 + c_1 \ a_2 \dots a_n]) \\ &= \alpha \det([b_1 \ a_2 \dots a_n]) + \det([c_1 \ a_2 \dots a_n]) \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \det$ is linear in the first column.

Let $A, B \in M_{nn}(F)$.

• $\det(A+B) \neq \det(A) + \det(B) \quad \forall A, B \in M_{nn}(F)$

• Cramer's rule:

• Define $[C]_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \det(A(i|j))$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

If A is invertible then $A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)} C$.

(C is called the adjugate)

Thm DRMM

Suppose $A, B \in M_{nn}(F)$. Then $\det(AB) = \det(A) \cdot \det(B)$.

Proof

Case 1: suppose A is nonsingular.

By thm. NMPM, $A = E_1 \dots E_k$ for some $E_1, \dots, E_k \in M_{nn}(F)$ elementary matrices.

It follows that $\det(AB) = \det(E_1 \dots E_k B)$

$$= \det(E_1) \dots \det(E_k) \det(B)$$

$$= \det(E_1) \dots \det(E_k) \det(I_n) \det(B)$$

$$= \det(E_1 \dots E_k I_n) \det(B)$$

$$= \det(E_1 \dots E_k) \det(B)$$

$$= \det(A) \det(B).$$

Case 2: suppose A is singular.

Thm. NPNT says AB nonsingular $\Leftrightarrow A$ and B are nonsingular. The contrapositive: AB singular $\Leftrightarrow A$ or B is singular.

Since A is singular, AB is singular.

By thm. SMZD, $\det(AB) = 0$ and $\det(A) = 0$.

So $\det(AB) = 0 = \det(A) \det(B)$. $\square$

Review of Linear Transformations

Def.

Let V and W be vector spaces over a field F .

$T: V \rightarrow W$ is a linear transformation (or is linear) if

$$T(u+v) = T(u) + T(v) \quad \forall u, v \in V, \text{ and}$$

$$T(\alpha v) = \alpha T(v) \quad \forall \alpha \in F, v \in V.$$

T is also linear $\Leftrightarrow T(\alpha u + v) = \alpha T(u) + T(v) \quad \forall \alpha \in F, u, v \in V$.

The set of all linear transformations from V to W is denoted by $\mathcal{L}T(V, W)$.

Thm.

Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}T(V, W)$.

① $T(0) = 0$

② T injective $\Leftrightarrow \ker T = \{v : T(v) = 0\} = \{0\}$

③ T surjective $\Leftrightarrow T(V) = \{T(v) : v \in V\} = W$

④ If $V = F^n$ and $W = F^m$, every $T \in \mathcal{L}T(V, W)$ satisfies $T(v) = Av \quad \forall v \in F^n$ for a unique matrix $A \in M_{m,n}(F)$.
 $A = [T(e_1) \dots T(e_n)]$ where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is the standard basis for F^n . (thm. MLCTV)

⑤ If $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is a basis for V , and $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ is any set of vectors in W . Then there is a unique $T \in \mathcal{L}T(V, W)$ such that $T(v_j) = w_j \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq n$. ($T(\alpha_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_n v_n) = \alpha_1 w_1 + \dots + \alpha_n w_n \quad \forall \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in F$)

⑥ We can add linear transformations and multiply them by scalars so that $\mathcal{L}T(V, W)$ is a vector space over F .

Def.

A linear operator is a linear transformation from V to V . The vector space of linear operators is denoted by $\mathcal{L}T(V, V) = \mathcal{L}T(V)$.